



FVE position on selective dry cow treatment in dairy cows

DRAFT POSITION FOR GA VOTE

Background:

Dry cow treatment is the intra-mammary administration of a long acting antibiotic preparation at the end of lactation. This is to treat for any intra-mammary bacterial infections contracted during lactation and also historically to prevent new infections. Mastitis can be very painful for dairy cows and represents economically the most important infectious disease in dairy cows

The use of dry cow management is quite variable in different countries. While in most countries by routine all cows are being treated with antibiotic dry cow treatment ('blanket dry cow treatment'), selective dry cow treatment in dairy cows has long been a common and successful practice in some other countries to avoid prophylactic use of antibiotics. Some countries or retailers, have banned the routine use of blanket dry cow treatment and/or the use of Critically Important Antibiotics (CIAs) for dry cow treatment (especially 3th and 4th generation cephalosporins). Also, some farm assurances schemes including organic farming moved to selective antibiotic dry cow treatment.

Studies show that the move from blanket dry cow treatment to selective dry cow treatment is possible without having detrimental effect on udder health during the dry period. However, care needs to be taken as wrong decisions can give unfavourable long-term effects and rise to serious animal welfare problems, and as such requires a careful and systematic udder health monitoring of dairy farms.

Most types of mastitis are seen around calving or at the start or end of the dry period and are caused either by contagious or environmental pathogens. This makes the dry off period and the period around calving high-risk time for acquisition of new bacterial infections. The type of infections also changed from mostly contagious pathogens to now mostly environmental pathogens causing mastitis.

Looking at the volumes of antibiotics used in food producing animals in the European Union (ESVAC 2018), the sales of intra-mammaries only accounts for 0.6% of the overall sales. For dairy cows however, dry off treatment is one of the most important indications for use of antibiotics. Penicillins, alone or in combination with aminoglycosides, and

Members

Austria	8
Belgium	9
Bosnia-Herzegovina	9
Bulgaria	10
Croatia	11
Cyprus	12
Czech Republic	12
Denmark	13
Estonia	14
Finland	14
France	15
Germany	15
Greece	16
Hungary	17
Iceland	18
Ireland	18
Italy	19
Latvia	20
Lithuania	20
Luxembourg	21
Malta	22
Montenegro	22
Netherlands	23
Norway	24
North-Macedonia	24
Poland	25
Portugal	26
Romania	26
Serbia	27
Slovak Republic	28
Slovenia	29
Spain	29
Sweden	30
Switzerland	31
United Kingdom	31

Observers

Albania	34
Russia	35
Turkey	35
Ukraine	36

Sections

UEVP Practitioners	39
EASVO State Officers	41
UEVH Hygienists	43
EVERI Veterinarians in Education, Research and Industry	46

President

Rafael Laguens

Vice-Presidents

Zsolt Pinter
Arne Skjoldager
Andrew Robinson
Rens van Dobbenburgh

49 cephalosporins are most commonly prescribed. Some contain a combination of several
50 active ingredients (e.g. Neomycin, Penicillin, Streptomycin).

51
52 The current concerns over the use of antibiotics and possible implications with
53 antimicrobial resistance (AMR) mean it is timely to review the concept of blanket dry
54 cow treatment at the end of lactation to both prevent new infections and treat any
55 existing infections and to move to selective dry cow treatment. It is important to change
56 towards optimised dry cow management with integrated measures for controlled
57 selective dry cow treatment. This should be, supported by the farm management
58 systems allowing comprehensive decision-making thresholds and a better detection of
59 cows at risk.

60

61

62 **Risks for animal and public health:**

63

64

65 The clinical consequences of AMR from dairy origin pathogens affecting humans appear
66 small. AMR among dairy pathogens, particularly those found in milk, is likely to cause
67 minimal human health concerns as long as the milk is pasteurized. However, there is an
68 increasing number of people who choose to consume raw milk, which may pose an
69 increased risk to health (see FVE position).

70

71 Routine antibiotic use e.g. dry-cow treatment, could lead to an increased level of
72 resistant pathogens and therefore a reduced range of options for treatment in the
73 future, to the detriment of animal health and welfare. The use of systemic antibiotic
74 treatment at drying off is not supported by peer-review scientific literature and should
75 be avoided.

76

77 Research has also shown that the association between AMR and systemic use of
78 antimicrobials is much more prominent, than the association between AMR and
79 antimicrobials administered by the intramammary route. Hence, the impact of
80 decreased use of antimicrobials on human AMR following successful implementation of
81 selective dry cow treatment will be minimal.

82

83 Nevertheless, in a society which is critical of antimicrobial use in farm animals and where
84 routine prophylactic use is no longer considered acceptable, there is a need to move
85 toward standard use of selective dry cow treatment. In support of this, experience from
86 countries who have already adopted a national strategy of selective dry cow treatment
87 managed to do so without detrimental effects on cattle health and welfare.

88

89

90 **General principles of good dry cow management**

91

- 92 • Thorough hygiene, good farm practice and management strategies should
93 be established to minimise the development and spread of mastitis in dairy
94 cows. Teats should be cleaned, disinfected and dried before milking and
95 disinfected after milking. The milking machine should be maintained
96 properly.
- 97 • Routine treatment with antibiotics at drying-off should be avoided and
98 selective dry cow treatment should be implemented. Treatment only has to
99 be done on the basis of clinical history of mastitis in the previous lactation,
100 suspicion of intra-mammary infection by an individual cell count and/or a
101 positive bacterial milk culture including isolation and preferably

- 102 antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) and individual cow or farm risk
103 factors (e.g. damaged teats).
- 104 • Ensure good records are kept amongst others on herd somatic cell count,
105 individual cow somatic cell count, clinical mastitis records and rapid tests
106 outcomes (such as bacteriology).
 - 107 • The application of teat sealant and mastitis vaccines may contribute to
108 reduce the risk of mastitis in cows not treated at drying off, when good farm
109 and hygiene practices as part of biosecurity program in farms are
110 established.
 - 111 • Appropriate withdrawal period compliance must be ensured before the
112 slaughter of treated animals or inclusion of milk from treated cows to the
113 bulk milk tank. Calves shall not be fed with waste milk from cows that have
114 been treated with antimicrobials.
 - 115 • Factors influencing udder health: immune status of the cows should be
116 optimised e.g. by optimising nutrition, husbandry or management aspects.
 - 117 • Highly critically important antibiotics (CIAs) for humans or combinations of
118 several antibiotics should only be used for dry cow treatment after a
119 bacterial culture and AST and as last resource.
 - 120 • All decisions regarding dry cow management should be made by the farm
121 veterinarian in collaboration with the farmer.
- 122
123

124 **FVE POSITION**

- 125
- 126 - FVE promotes **responsible use of antibiotics for all animal use**. As much as possible,
127 disease should be prevented by ensuring biosecurity measures and optimal nutrition,
128 proper housing and ventilation, good management and preventive use of vaccines.
- 129 **Good dry cow treatment is part of good herd management.**
- 130 - FVE believes that **'blanket dry cow treatment' should be replaced by selective dry cow**
131 **treatment**, unless in extreme circumstances
 - 132 - Selective dry cow treatment has to be done on the basis of clinical history of mastitis,
133 suspicion of intra-mammary infection (by individual cell count and/or a positive bacterial
134 milk culture including isolation and preferably AST) and individual cow or farm risk
135 factors (e.g. damaged teats).
 - 136 - FVE encourages all to **follow the general principles of good dry cow management**
 - 137 - All decisions around dry cow treatment should be made by the **farm veterinarian in**
138 **collaboration with the farmer** to ensure not to endanger the cows' health and welfare.
 - 139 - FVE wants further **stimulate the development and use of (inter) national guidelines**
140 **and best practices** in respect to dry cow treatment. FVE promotes **conversations** on
141 selective dry cow management for the benefit of the health and welfare of all dairy cows
142 and calves.
- 143
144
145
146
147
148

149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213

KEY REFERENCES

- Biggs A. (2017). Update on Dry Cow Therapy part 1 and 2. In Practice July/August 39, 328-333 and In Practice September 39, 363-371
- Bundesverband für Tiergesundheit e.V. (2016) - Mastitisbehandlung und Trockenstellen unter Antibiotikaschutz von Milchkühen - http://www.bft-online.de/fileadmin/bft/aktuelles/Mastitisbehandlung_Trockenstellen_unter_Antibiotikaschutz_von_Milchk%C3%BChen_akutalisiert20170418.pdf
- CellCheck November 2017 Newsletter - http://animalhealthireland.ie/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/CellCheck_Newsletter_November-Final_Tip.pdf
- de Boyer des Roches A *et al.* (2017) Behavioral and patho-physiological response as possible signs of pain in dairy cows during *Escherichia coli* mastitis: A pilot study. J Dairy Sci. 100(10):8385-8397. doi: 10.3168/jds.2017-12796. Epub 2017 Jul 26.
- Dutch guidelines: <http://www.melkvee.nl/nieuws/4058/knmvd--droogzetten-alleen-na-celgetalbepaling---->
- European Commission guidelines (2015) - https://ec.europa.eu/health/sites/health/files/antimicrobial_resistance/docs/2015_prudent_use_guidelines_en.pdf
- European Commission/DG Sante (2017) Overview report – Welfare of cattle on dairy farms. http://ec.europa.eu/food/audits-analysis/overview_reports/act_getPDF.cfm?PDF_ID=1139
- FAWEC – Welfare issues related to mastitis in dairy cows. https://www.fawec.org/media/com_lazypdf/pdf/fs10-en.pdf
- FVE (2014). Raw milk: friend or foe? http://www.fve.org/uploads/publications/docs/007_raw_milk_consumption_final.pdf
- Lyhs U, Kulkas L, Katholm J, Persson Waller K, Saha K, Tomusk RJ and Zadoks RN (2016) *Streptococcus agalactiae* Serotype IV in Humans and Cattle, Northern Europe. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 22, 2097-2103.
- More S.J., Clegg T., McCoy F. (2017). The use of national-level data to describe trends in intramammary antimicrobial usage on Irish dairy farms from 2003 to 2015. J. Dairy Sci. 100: 6400–6413
- National Mastitis Council Guidelines: <http://www.nmconline.org>
- Nobrega D., De Buck J., Naqvi A. and Barkema H. (2018), Drug and route-specific associations between antimicrobial use and prevalence of resistance in bovine non-aureus staphylococci. WCDS Adv Dairy Tech Volume 30, Abstract, page 384
- Østerås, O., W. S. Martin & V. L. Edge (1999). Possible Risk Factors Associated with Penicillin-Resistant Strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* from Bovine Subclinical Mastitis in Early Lactation. J. Dairy Sci., 82, 927 – 938.
- Østerås, O., V. L. Edge & W. S. Martin (1999). Determinants of Success or failure in the Elimination of Major Mastitis Pathogens in Selective Dry Cow Therapy. J. Dairy Sci. 82, 1221 – 1231.
- RUMA - <http://www.ruma.org.uk/ruma-publishes-guidelines-on-the-responsible-use-of-antimicrobials-in-dry-cow-management/>
- Scherpenzeel, C.G.M., Santman-Berends, I.M.G.A., Lam, T.J.G.M. (2018) Veterinarians' attitudes toward antimicrobial use and selective dry cow treatment in the Netherlands. J Dairy Sci 101 (7), 6336-. ISSN 0022-0302.
- Vanhoudt A., van Hees-Huijps K., van Kneegsel A.T.M., Sampimon , Vernooij J.C.M., Nielen M., van Werven T.(2018) Effects of reduced intramammary antimicrobial use during the dry period on udder health in Dutch dairy herds J Dairy Sci 101 (4), 3248-3260